The Feynman-Kac representation

Jason Swanson

October 18, 2007

1 Introduction

Suppose that $b: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ are continuous functions that satisfy the linear growth condition

$$|b(x)| + |\sigma(x)| \le K(1+|x|)$$

for some constant K and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s)) dB(s) + \int_0^t b(X(s)) ds.$$
 (1.1)

We shall assume that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a pair of \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes, X and B, defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , such that P(X(0) = x) = 1, B is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion under P, and (1.1) is satisfied. We also assume that the law of (X, B) is uniquely determined.

Let L denote the generator of X. That is, L is the differential operator

$$Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{ij}^2 f(x) + b(x)^T \nabla f(x),$$

where $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a(x) = \sigma(x)\sigma(x)^T$.

The Feynman-Kac representation asserts that, under appropriate conditions, the solution to the initial value problem

$$\partial_t u = Lu, \quad u(0, x) = f(x) \tag{1.2}$$

is given by

$$u(t,x) = E^x[f(X(t))].$$
 (1.3)

We will first present a heuristic derivation of this result, and then state the full theorem, whose proof can be found in the references.

Suppose that (1.2) has a solution u(t,x). Fix T>0 and define v(t,x)=u(T-t,x). Then $\partial_t v=-Lv$. Define Y(t)=v(t,X(t)). By Itô's rule,

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + M(t) + \int_0^t (\partial_t v(s, X(s)) + Lv(s, X(s))) ds = Y(0) + M(t),$$

where M(t) is a local martingale. Hence,

$$u(T - t, X(t)) = u(T, X(0)) + M(t).$$

If M is in fact a martingale, then taking expectations gives

$$E^x[u(T-t,X(t))] = u(T,x).$$

Assuming that we can justify letting $t \to T$ under the expectation, this gives

$$E^x[u(0, X(T))] = u(T, x).$$

Since u(0,x) = f(x) and since this is true for all T > 0, we have derived (1.3).

2 The Feynman-Kac representation theorem

The full theorem is more general than what is described in the introduction. We will actually consider the initial value problem

$$\partial_t u = Lu - ku + g, \quad u(0, x) = f(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $k, g : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous. We assume that $k \geq 0$ and that g satisfies the following growth condition: for each T > 0, there exist constants L and r such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |g(t, x)| \le L(1 + |x|^r)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 2.1 Assume that u(t,x) is continuous on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and that $\partial_t u$ and $\partial_{ij}^2 u$ are continuous on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for all i and j. Assume that u satisfies (2.1), and that u satisfies the same growth condition as q. Let

$$Z(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t k(s, X(s)) \, ds\right\}.$$

Then

$$u(t,x) = E^x \left[f(X(t))Z(t) + \int_0^t g(s,X(s))Z(s) \, ds \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, such a solution to (2.1) is unique.

This is a special case of Theorem 5.7.6 in [1]. (The full result in [1] concerns the case when b and σ also depend on t.) Note that when k = 0, we have Z(t) = 1 and (2.2) reduces to

$$u(t,x) = E^x \left[f(X(t)) + \int_0^t g(s, X(s)) \, ds \right].$$

In particular, if k = g = 0, then (2.2) reduces to (1.3).

3 The killed process

The process X(t) can be thought of as representing the location of a particle which is moving about randomly in \mathbb{R}^d . In this section, we modify the process X so that the particle is "killed" at a random time ρ . Specifically, we define

$$\rho = \inf\left\{t \ge 0 : \int_0^t k(s, X(s)) \, ds \ge \tau\right\},\tag{3.1}$$

where τ is independent of X and is exponentially distributed with mean 1. The *killed process* is defined as

$$\widetilde{X}(t) = \begin{cases} X(t) & \text{if } t < \rho, \\ \Delta & \text{if } t \ge \rho, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where Δ is a so-called "cemetery" state which is outside of \mathbb{R}^d .

The function k(t, x) is interpreted as the *killing rate*. Informally, this means that if, at time t, the particle is alive and is situated at the point x, then the probability that it dies in the next h units of time is approximately k(t, x)h when h is small. Symbolically,

$$P(\rho \le t + h|\rho > t, X(t) = x) \approx k(t, x)h. \tag{3.3}$$

To see this more formally, first recall that X is a Markov process with respect to a filtration \mathcal{F}_t . Since τ and X are independent,

$$P(\rho > t + h | \mathcal{F}_{\infty}) = P\left(\int_{0}^{t+h} k(s, X(s)) ds < \tau \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right) = Z(t+h),$$

where Z is defined as in Theorem 2.1. Hence,

$$P(\rho > t + h|\mathcal{F}_t) = E[Z(t+h)|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$= Z(t)E\left[\exp\left\{-\int_t^{t+h} k(s, X(s)) ds\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$$= Z(t)E^{X(t)}\left[\exp\left\{-\int_0^h k(t+s, X(s)) ds\right\}\right],$$

where we have used the Markov property in the last equality. Finally, then,

$$P(\rho > t + h|X(t)) = E\left[Z(t)E^{X(t)}\left[\exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{h} k(t + s, X(s)) ds\right\}\right] \middle| X(t)\right]$$
$$= E^{X(t)}\left[\exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{h} k(t + s, X(s)) ds\right\}\right] E[Z(t)|X(t)].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} P(\rho \leq t + h | \rho > t, X(t) = x) &= 1 - P(\rho > t + h | \rho > t, X(t) = x) \\ &= 1 - \frac{P(\rho > t + h | X(t) = x)}{P(\rho > t | X(t) = x)} \\ &= 1 - E^x \bigg[\exp \bigg\{ - \int_0^h k(t + s, X(s)) \, ds \bigg\} \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Under suitable conditions on k and X, we may differentiate under the expectation, which yields (3.3) as the first order linear approximation.

The connection between the killed process and the Feynman-Kac representation is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (2.1) has a solution u which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Let X denote the solution to (1.1) and let \widetilde{X} be the killed process given by (3.1) and (3.2). Then

$$u(t,x) = E^x \left[f(\widetilde{X}(t)) + \int_0^t g(s,\widetilde{X}(s)) \, ds \right],$$

where f and g are extended so that $f(\Delta) = 0$ and $g(t, \Delta) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t,x)$ be a measurable function such that $E^x[\varphi(t,X(t))Z(t)]$ exists. Extend φ so that $\varphi(t,\Delta)=0$. Then

$$E^{x}[\varphi(t, X(t))Z(t)] = E^{x}\left[\varphi(t, X(t))P\left(\int_{0}^{t} k(s, X(s)) ds < \tau \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)\right]$$

$$= E^{x}[\varphi(t, X(t))P(t < \rho | \mathcal{F}_{\infty})]$$

$$= E^{x}[\varphi(t, X(t))1_{\{t < \rho\}}]$$

$$= E^{x}[\varphi(t, \widetilde{X}(t))].$$

The theorem now follows directly from Theorem 2.1.

References

[1] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve. Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus. Springer, 1991.